



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/084,121

02/27/2002

Alin D'Silva

01-1008

5040

32127

7590

09/09/2004

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE
MAILCODE HQEO3H14
IRVING, TX 75038

EXAMINER

ELAHEE, MD S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/084,121

Applicant(s)

D'SILVA ET AL.

Examiner

Md S Elahee

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5,7-10 and 12-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5,7-10 and 12-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed 06/28/04. Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-16 are pending. Claim 17 has been cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments mailed on 06/28/04 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 2-5, 7-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,652,789).

Regarding claims 4, 14 and 15, Miner teaches receiving, via a data network, reminder data (i.e., event data) associated with the user, the reminder data comprising information for connecting the call to the one or more parties and a time period for initiating the call (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-43).

Miner further teaches storing the reminder data in a database (col.40, lines 6-15, 44-64).

Miner further teaches determining that a current time is within the time period for connecting the call (col.40, line 63- col.41, line 1). (Note; when delivery time comes in,

the Cron agent sends the reminder parcel to the user and the reminder message is for calling up a contact, therefore, it is clear that the Cron agent determines a current time which is within the time period for connecting the call)

Miner further teaches notifying the user that the call is about to take place (fig.31; col.41, lines 6-22).

Miner further teaches receiving "call Them" command (i.e., confirmation) from the user to initiate the call to the one or more parties on behalf of the user (fig.31; col.41, lines 56-63).

Miner further teaches establishing the call between the user and the one or more parties via the telephone network based on the reminder data (fig.5, 31; col.11, lines 22-25, col.41, lines 56-63).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Miner teaches updating the database to reflect changes in the reminder data (fig.32; col.42, lines 7-32).

Regarding claims 3, 8, 9 and 13, Miner teaches determining based on the reminder data a telephone number associated with the user and a telephone number associated with the one or more parties (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-34, 55-64).

Miner further teaches connecting the call between the user and the one or more parties via the telephone network based on the telephone numbers (fig.31; col.41, lines 56-63).

Regarding claims 5 and 16, Miner teaches establishing at least one reminder data (i.e., event record) corresponding to the call, the reminder data comprising user information and at least one telephone identifier (fig.29, 30; col.40, lines 15-34, 55-64).

Miner further teaches associating the reminder data with an event time (col.40, lines 15-34).

Miner further teaches requesting authorization from the user to initiate the call, based on a current time and the event time (col.41, lines 53-63). (Note; since the user elects not to reschedule the reminder, the user authorizes the electronic assistant to place the call)

Miner further teaches initiating the call to the at least one party on behalf of the user, based on an affirmative response to the authorization request from the user (col.41, lines 53-63).

Regarding claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 4. Furthermore, Miner teaches an electronic assistant (i.e., transmitter) connecting the user (i.e., caller) via the telephone network to the one or more parties based on the reminder data (fig.5, 31; col.11, lines 22-25, col.41, lines 53-63).

Regarding claim 7, Miner teaches the electronic assistant system (i.e., calendar system) to updating the reminder data (fig.32; col.42, lines 7-32).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Vance et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,411,605) teach Scheduler for telecommunications bridge, D'Angelo (U.S. Patent No. 6,717,938) teach System controlling use of a communication channel, Sammon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,563,914) teach Personal web-based teleconferencing method and system and Johnson (U.S. Patent No. 6,735,292) teach Method and system for priority call processing.

Art Unit: 2645

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Md S Elahee whose telephone number is (703)305-4822. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703)305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.E.

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE
August 30, 2004

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

